## HIGHLY UNSATURATED AMIDES FROM SALMEA SCANDENS

## FERDINAND BOHLMANN, LIEVY HARTONO and JASMIN JAKUPOVIC

Institute for Organic Chemistry, Technical University of Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12, West Germany

(Received 2 April 1984)

Key Word Index—Salmea scandens; Compositae; unsaturated amides; phenylethylamine derivatives.

Abstract—The aerial parts of Salmea scandens gave, in addition to three known amides, three new ones. The chemotaxonomy of this genus is discussed briefly.

The small tropical American genus Salmea has not been studied chemically. We have therefore investigated Salmea scandens (L.) DC. The aerial parts contain germacrene D, squalene, nerolidol, spathulenol and the isobutylamide 1, the phenylethylamides 2 and 3, which have been isolated from a Spilanthes species [1], as well as three further ones, the epoxide 4, the enzyme 5, and the aldehyde 6. The structure of 5, molecular formula C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO, followed from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (see Experimental), which clearly showed the presence of a phenylethylamide residue. Spin decoupling allowed the assignment of all signals. The couplings of H-2 and H-3 indicate a Z-configuration of the conjugated double bond. The presence of two methylene groups followed by a ynene chromophore also followed from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals as H-5 was a narrowly split triplet which collapsed to a clear triplet by irradiation of the broad double-quartet at  $\delta$ 5.42 (H-8). As this signal showed an 11 Hz coupling this double bond also had a Zconfiguration.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 4 (see Experimental), which gave no molecular ion by the EI technique, but a clear  $[M+1]^+$  ion by chemical ionization, showed that an epoxide was present. Thus H-2 was a doublet at  $\delta 3.49$  (J=5 Hz) which was coupled with a double-triplet at  $\delta 3.23$ . The presence of a diyne end group followed from the mass spectrum and the typical long range coupling of the acetylenic proton.

The  $^1H$  NMR spectrum of 6 (see Experimental) indicated by the presence of a low-field doublet at  $\delta 9.64$  that an aldehyde was present. Again only by chemical ionization was a  $[M+1]^+$  peak obtained. All  $^1H$  NMR signals were assigned by spin decoupling. Most likely 6 was formed by oxidative degradation of an amide similar to 1 with a phenylethylamide residue.

The chemistry of Salmea seems to be very similar to Spilanthes and Acmella, where highly unsaturated amides are common [1-5]. These compounds are also present in species of Echinacea [6] and Heliopsis [7]. Bolick [8] has discussed the cladistic relationships of Salmea and relates the genus directly to Spilanthes and Acmella, as do the chemical data. Indeed, several species of Salmea previously placed in Spilanthes by earlier workers have recently been transferred back to Salmea [9]. Robinson [10] comments upon the close relationship of Salmea and Acmella to Verbesina but Bolick reckons the relationship of this complex to be with Otopappus, a genus whose chemistry is inadequately known at present.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

The air-dried aerial parts (460 g, collected in Mexico, voucher Turner and Tapia 15518, TEX) were extracted with MeOH-Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol, 1:1:1, at room temp. and worked up in the usual fashion [11]. CC fractions were as follows: 1 (petrol and

$$Me[CH=CH]_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}[CH=CH]_{2}CONHCH_{2}CHMe_{2} \qquad 1$$

$$R[C\equiv C]_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH=CHCONHCH_{2}CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5} \qquad 2 R = Me$$

$$3 R = H$$

$$4 R = H \text{ epoxyat}$$

$$C-2/C-3$$

$$MeCH=CHCC=CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH=CHCONHCH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}$$

$$Z$$

$$OCH[CH=CH]_{2}CONHCH_{2}CH_{2}C_{6}H_{5}$$

$$E$$

$$E$$

$$6$$

Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol, 1:9), 2 (Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol, 1:1) and 3 (Et<sub>2</sub>O and Et<sub>2</sub>O-MeOH, 9:1). TLC (petrol) of fraction 1 gave 40 mg germacrene D and 860 mg squalene. TLC of fraction 2 (Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol, 1:1) gave 6 mg nerolidol and 21 mg spathulenol. TLC of 150 mg of fraction 3 (total 21 g) (Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol, 4:1) gave two crude bands (3/1 and 3/2) which were separated further by HPLC (RP 8, MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O, 3:2, ca 100 bar, flow rate 3 ml/min), 3/1 affording 3.8 mg 3 ( $R_1$  7.3 min), a mixture (3/1/2) ( $R_1$  9.4 min), 5 mg 5 ( $R_1$  12.1 min) and 6 mg 1 ( $R_1$  20.1 min). TLC (Et<sub>2</sub>O-petrol, 4:1, 3 developments) and HPLC (see above) of 3/1/2 gave 2 mg 2 ( $R_1$  10.1 min) and 0.6 mg 6 ( $R_1$  0.5 min). TLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1:1:1) of 3/2 gave 8 mg 4 ( $R_1$  0.6). Known compounds were identified by comparing the 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra with those of authentic material.

Nona-6,8-diyn-2,3-epoxy-1-oic acid phenylethylamide (4). Colourless oil; IR  $v^{\text{COL}_4}_{\text{max}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3425, 1690, 1520 (CONHR), 3320, 2200 (HC $\equiv$ C); CIMS (isobutane) m/z (rel. int.): 268 [M+1]<sup>+</sup> (47), 177 [268 - C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (100); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS as internal standard):  $\delta$ 3.49 (d, H-2), 3.23 (dt, H-3), 1.41 (ddt, H-4), 1.63 (ddt, H-4'), 2.35 (dt, H-5), 2.05 (t, H-9), 3.67 (dq, H-2<sub>1</sub>'), 3.49 (dq, H-2<sub>2</sub>'), 2.87 (dt, H-3<sub>1</sub>'), 2.79 (dt, H-3<sub>2</sub>'), 7.18 (br d, H-5', H-9'), 7.22 (t, H-7'), 7.31 (t, H-6', H-8'); [t (Hz): 2, 3 = 5, 3, 4 = 8; 4, 4' = 14; 4, 5 = 7; 5, 9 = 1; NH, 2' = 2', 3' = 7; 2<sub>1</sub>', 2<sub>2</sub>' = 3<sub>1</sub>', 3<sub>2</sub>' = 14, 5', 6' = 6', 7' = 8].

Deca-2Z,8Z-dien-6-yn-1-oic acid phenylethylamde (5). Colourless oil, IR  $v_{max}^{CCL_4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3470, 1675, 1500 (CONHR), 3025, 1600 (CH=CH); MS m/z (rel. int.): 267.162 [M]<sup>+</sup> (27) (calc. for  $C_{18}H_{21}NO$ : 267.162), 252 [M – Me]<sup>+</sup> (7), 176 [M –  $C_{7}H_{7}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (8), 147 [M –  $C_{9}H_{12}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (29), 123 [176 –  $C_{4}H_{5}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (100), 120 [ $C_{9}H_{12}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (63), 119 [ $C_{9}H_{11}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (60), 105 [ $C_{8}H_{9}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (95), 104 [ $C_{8}H_{8}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (60), 91 [ $C_{7}H_{7}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (61), 79 [ $C_{6}H_{7}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (54), 77 [ $C_{6}H_{5}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (55); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS as internal standard):  $\delta$ 5.71 (dt, H-2), 6.07 (dt, H-3), 2.82 (ddt, H-4), 2.42 (dt, H-5), 5.42 (dq, H-8), 5.90 (dq, H-9), 1.82 (dd, H-10), 3.36 (t, H-2'), 2.85 (t, H-3'), 7.20 (br d, H-5', H-9'), 7.24 (t, H-7'), 7.31 (t, H-6', H-8'), 5.62

(brs, NH); [J(Hz): 2, 3 = 8, 9 = 11; 2, 4 = 5, 8 = 2; 3, 4 = 4, 5 = 9, 10 = NH, 2' = 2', 3' = 7; 5', 6' = 6', 7' = 8].6-Oxo-hexa-2E, 4E-dien-1-oic acid-N-phenylethylamide (6).

6-Oxo-hexa-2E,4E-dien-1-oic acid-N-phenylethylamide (6). Colourless oil; IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CCl}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3440, 1680, 1500 (CONHR), 2730, 1690 (CHO); CIMS m/z (isobutane) m/z (rel. int.): 230 [M + 1] + (100); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz, TMS as internal standard):  $\delta$ 6.19 (d, H-2), 7.37 (dd, H-3), 7.11 (dd, H-4), 6.40 (dd, H-5), 9.64 (d, H-6), 3.65 (dt, H-2'), 2.88 (t, H-3'), 7.20 (br d, H-5', H-9'), 7.25 (t, H-7'), 7.33 (t, H-6', H-8'); [J (Hz): 2, 3 = 4, 5 = 15; 3, 4 = 11; 5, 6 = 7.5; NH, 2' = 2', 3' = 7; 5', 6' = 6', 7' = 8].

Acknowledgements—We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support and Dr. B. L. Turner, University of Texas at Austin, for collection of plant material.

## REFERENCES

- Bohlmann, F., Ziesche, J., Robinson, H. and King, R. M. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 1535.
- 2. Jacobsen, M. (1957) Chem. Ind. 50.
- 3. Gerber, E. (1903) Arch. Pharm. 241, 270.
- Johns, T., Graham, K. and Towers, G. H. N. (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 2737.
- Bohlmann, F., Burkhardt, T. and Zdero, C. (1973) Naturally Occurring Acetylenes. Academic Press, London.
- Bohlmann, F. and Hoffmann, H. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 1173 and refs. cited therein.
- Bohlmann, F., Gerke, T., Ahmed, M., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1983) Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1202.
- 8. Bolick, P. (1981) Adv. Cladistics 1, 115.
- 9. Bolick, P. and Jansen, R. K. (1981) Brittonia 33, 186.
- 10. Robinson, H. (1981) Smithsonian Contr. Botany 51, 1.
- Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 1979.